International Journal of Sociology and Political Science
www.sociologyjournal.in
E-ISSN: 2664-7745
Received: 07-02-2026, Accepted: 10-03-2026, Published: 25-03-2026
Volume 8, Issue 1, 2026, Page No. 137-140

Impact of digital democracy on political inclusion and parliamentary accountability in India

Dindigala Anilkumar
Department of Political Science, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Open University, Hyderabad, Telangana, India
DOI: https://doi.org/10.66856/ijsps.2026.8.1.8109

Abstract
Digital democracy has become a significant aspect of modern democratic government, changing how citizens engage with democratic institutions and take part in political processes. In India, opportunities for institutional accountability and political inclusion have been made possible by the growing use of digital technologies, social media platforms, online communication, and legislative digital initiatives. Through digital platforms, citizens can interact with elected officials, receive parliamentary information, voice their political views, and take part in public debates outside of traditional political venues. Digitalization has the ability to deepen legislative oversight, increase openness, and improve citizen-Parliament contact at the institutional level. But not everyone gains equally from digital democracy. Significant obstacles to inclusive democratic participation include the digital divide, unequal access to technology, disparities in digital literacy, false information, online political polarization, and privacy concerns. This paper investigates how digital democracy affects parliamentary accountability and political inclusivity in India. It examines the ways in which digital technologies affect the interaction between citizens and legislative institutions as well as political representation, participation, and transparency. The institutional and societal difficulties related to digital democratic activities are also covered. The analysis makes the argument that, when backed by fair digital access, institutional responsiveness, trustworthy information, and efficient accountability systems, digital democracy may enhance and sustain representative institutions.

Keywords: Digital democracy, political inclusion, parliamentary accountability, digital governance, political participation, representative democracy, Indian Parliament, digital divide

Introduction

Political engagement, representation, equality, and accountability are the cornerstones of democracy. By choosing representatives who are supposed to voice public concerns, take part in legislative procedures, and hold the government responsible, citizens in a representative democracy exercise their political rights. However, opportunities for democratic engagement have been made possible by the evolving nature of society, communication, and technology. The conventional connection between citizens, political representatives, and democratic institutions has changed primarily the result of the advancement of digital technologies. The use of information and communication technology to promote political participation, democratic discussion, public engagement, transparency, and accountability is known as "digital democracy." It gives people the chance to get political information, voice their opinions, interact with elected officials, and take part in debates on public policy. Digital democracy allows communication through online platforms and digital networks, in contrast to traditional modes of political engagement, which frequently rely on in-person meetings, public events, and established political organizations.

India's vast population, socioeconomic diversity, and intricate political system have made the country's digital transition all the more important. The opportunities for political engagement have increased thanks to digital communication platforms, government portals, online information systems, and legislative digital projects. For instance, the Digital Sansad portal facilitates public awareness of legislative actions by making parliamentary material and processes accessible. Political inclusion and parliamentary accountability are two crucial aspects of democratic governance that are particularly relevant to digital democracy. Ensuring that people and social groups have significant opportunity to engage in political processes regardless of their gender, caste, class, geography, or socioeconomic status is known as political inclusion. The term "legislative accountability" describes the obligation of elected officials and parliamentary institutions to continue being answerable to the people and to conduct themselves openly within the bounds of the constitution.

Digital Democracy and Political Inclusion

A fundamental principle of democratic administration is political inclusion. It guaranties that many societal groups have the chance to voice their views, take part in political processes, and have an impact on public decision-making. Political inclusion is especially crucial in a multicultural nation like India since social, economic, regional, and gender disparities can affect how much people participate in politics. By lowering some of the conventional obstacles to political involvement and communication, digital democracy offers new chances to increase political inclusion.

Expansion of Political Participation

Digital platforms have opened up new avenues for citizens to voice their thoughts and take part in political debates. People can interact with political issues without necessarily taking part in traditional political activities using social media, online discussion forums, digital campaigns, and government information portals. Through digital channels, citizens can express public concerns, discuss legislative changes, and share their thoughts on government policy. As

a consequence of this growth, political participation now encompasses more than just traditional political organizations and elections. On the other hand, effective political influence should not be inevitably associated with internet engagement. Whether or if citizens' opinions are given institutional consideration and are incorporated into public discourse determines its democratic popularity.

Gender and Digital Political Participation

Women now have more opportunities to participate in politics according to digital democracy. Women can use internet platforms to voice their concerns, take part in online campaigns, receive information about government programs, and participate in political discussions. Women's organizations can also use digital communication to spread awareness on gender equality, education, employment, political representation, and violence against women. Such platforms give women the chance to engage in public discourse outside of conventional institutional contexts. Online harassment, gender-based abuse, privacy issues, and unequal access to digital resources, however, continue to be significant obstacles. Digital literacy, safe online spaces, and equal chances for women to engage in political communication are required for meaningful digital inclusion.

Digital Access and Regional Inclusion

Due of India's geographical variety, access to democratic institutions and political information varies greatly. Accessing traditional political and administrative channels may be challenging for residents of remote rural communities and physically isolated places.

By making political debates, government announcements, and parliamentary information accessible online, digital technologies can help lower some of these obstacles. Digital material in regional languages can further increase accessibility for persons who struggle to understand English as their first language. However, disparities between urban and rural people are still caused by variations in internet infrastructure, electricity availability, price, and digital skills. Therefore, in order to guaranty more equitable political participation, the growth of digital democracy must address the digital gap.

Digital Platforms and Political Awareness

To be involved in democracy with knowledge, one must have access to political information. Digital platforms give citizens access to information on public affairs, government policies, legislative developments, and parliamentary debates. Online news sources, official government websites, and legislative information portals can raise citizens' political consciousness and help them comprehend how democratic institutions operate. Increased information availability can improve individuals' capacity to assess public policy and challenge elected officials' actions. However, politically biased communication, modified content, and false information can undermine informed involvement. Therefore, media literacy and access to reliable information are necessary for digital political awareness.

Parliamentary Accountability in India

A vital aspect of India's parliamentary democracy is parliamentary accountability. It guaranties that elected officials and the government are held accountable for their choices, policies, and deeds. The Indian Constitution creates a parliamentary system of government where the Lok Sabha is the ultimate authority over the executive branch. Through committee exams, parliamentary inquiries, legislative debates, and budgetary oversight, parliamentary institutions carry out crucial tasks. Elections are only one aspect of accountability in a democratic government. It also entails ongoing public scrutiny, information access, institutional transparency, and chances for citizens to challenge how the government operates. Additional methods for monitoring parliamentary activity and providing information to the public have been made possible by digital technologies.

Transparency in Parliamentary Functioning

Strong constitutional accountability requires transparency. Information about legislative debates, parliamentary sessions, governmental policies, and decisions made by elected officials must be available to the public. By offering online access to debates, questions, legislation, committee reports, and other legislative documents, digital platforms have increased the accessibility of parliamentary information. Historical debates, parliamentary questions, committee reports, budget speeches, and other materials are accessible through the Parliament Digital Library. The library's official material states that it has over 12.88 lakh digital papers that allow researchers and individuals to access parliamentary records.

Role of Parliamentary Committees

Analyzing government policy, spending, legislation, and administrative operations is a major responsibility of parliamentary committees. They offer chances for in-depth analysis of topics that might not get enough attention in ordinary parliamentary discussions. By enhancing access to reports, records, and pertinent information, digitalization can improve committee work. The recommendations and observations of parliamentary committees can also be examined by individuals, scholars, journalists, and civil society organizations thanks to the online posting of committee findings. More public access to legislative scrutiny is supported by the Parliament Digital Library's provision of parliamentary committee reports.

Public Scrutiny of Elected Representatives

Citizens today have more ways to keep an eye on the actions of their elected officials according to digital democracy. legislative speeches, voting-related information, questions posed by MPs, and other recordings of legislative activity are all accessible to the public. Social media sites are now crucial venues for political discourse. These venues are used by representatives to share constituency-related activities, express policy viewpoints, and address public issues. Simultaneously, citizens have the ability to challenge legislators, bring up local concerns, and request explanations for political actions. The bond between legislators and citizens can be strengthened by such communication. Online presence, however, should not be regarded as a comprehensive indicator of parliamentary success. Major legislative involvement, responsiveness, and accountability to constituents are necessary for accountability.

Impact of Digital Democracy on Political Inclusion and Parliamentary Accountability

Through providing new opportunities for public participation and political communication, digital democracy has affected how representative institutions operate. Its contribution to political engagement, institutional openness, democratic responsiveness, and the evolving relationship between citizens and Parliament can be utilized in order to understand its influence.

Strengthening Citizen-Representative Relationships

The rise in communication between citizens and elected officials is one significant effect of digital democracy. Election campaigns, public gatherings, political organizations, and constituency visits are common forms of traditional political communication. Digital platforms offer more avenues for communication. Through online channels, citizens can discuss local issues, voice complaints about policies, and ask elected officials for answers. Political representation may become more responsive and accessible as the outcome of this growth. However, whether or not officials recognize and address public issues will determine how effective digital communication.

Improving Access to Parliamentary Information

Parliamentary information is now more readily accessible according to digital democracy. To understand legislative proceedings, citizens no longer have to rely solely on media, television, or actual parliamentary documents. Opportunities for independent evaluation of parliamentary operations are made possible by the online platforms that make parliamentary sessions, questions, debates, and committee reports available. This can promote informed political engagement and enhance public comprehension of legislative procedures. Students, academics, and educational institutions studying parliamentary democracy also gain from digital access.

Promoting Inclusive Democratic Participation

Digital platforms can give people and organizations the chance to engage in political discourse despite institutional or geographic restrictions. Digital platforms allow women, youth, individuals with disabilities, and marginalized communities to express their concerns and take part in public discussions. As an outcome, digital democracy can increase the areas where people can participate in politics. However, accessible technology, digital education, regional-language material, and reasonably priced internet access are necessary for equal participation.

Enhancing Parliamentary Transparency and Accountability

Improved public monitoring of parliamentary institutions has been made possible by digitalization. Citizens can investigate the actions of elected officials through online access to legislative records and parliamentary proceedings. Digital parliamentary information can promote public discourse about legislative actions and increase openness. Additionally, citizens and civil society organizations can voice concerns about government policy and parliamentary performance through internet communication. However, genuine institutional accountability should be linked to transparency. Information dissemination is just the beginning; democratic accountability also calls for justifications, remedial measures, and decision-making accountability.

Strengthening Political Awareness and Democratic Deliberation

Digital platforms have made it possible for citizens to debate public policies and constitutional concerns and have increased the dissemination of political information. Online conversations can promote discussion on issues of public concern and expose citizens to various political viewpoints. Additionally, they can raise awareness of government initiatives and parliamentary developments. However, false information, manipulated content, political animosity, and the development of information bubbles can all have an impact on the democratic nature of online discussion. As a result, digital democracy needs to encourage responsible political communication, educated debate, and access to reliable information.

Challenges of the Digital Divide

Despite the growth of digital infrastructure, unequal access to technology continues to be a major obstacle to political inclusion in India. Rural and urban places, gender groups, income brackets, educational levels, and linguistic communities are all affected by the digital divide. According to numbers from the Telecom Regulatory Authority of India, which Freedom House reported, internet penetration was 44.2% in rural areas and 111.8% in urban areas in March 2024. These numbers do not represent the proportion of unique internet users; rather, they represent subscriptions in relation to the population. In a similar vein, the Ministry of Statistics and Program Implementation's 2025 Comprehensive Modular Survey on Telecom reveals disparities in mobile ownership and internet usage between gender and geographic groups.

Conclusion

Digital Democracy is a key aspect to political inclusivity and parliamentary accountability in India. Digital technology has helped to create new opportunities for citizens to get involved in democracy; it has made more resources available to people so they can access political information, participate in democratic discourse and communicate with their representatives. Parliamentary processes have been digitised, making legislative documents, parliamentary debates and committee reports readily available. Women, young people, marginalised individuals and those who live in geographically disadvantaged areas now have more opportunities to take part in political discourse through digital means. However there are unequal benefits due to unequal access to the internet, digital skills, socio-economics and technology.

According to the context of parliamentary accountability, digitalization has made legislative information more accessible and made it possible for the public to examine parliamentary operations more closely. The Parliament Digital Library and Digital Sansad are two examples of initiatives that show how technology may make parliamentary records and sessions more accessible. In a similar vein, digital legislative projects like NeVA offer chances to enhance accessibility and openness in state legislative institutions. However, misinformation, online political polarization, privacy issues, digital inequality, and unequal representation in online spaces are some of the

significant obstacles that digital democracy also faces. Digital information accessibility does not always ensure meaningful citizen participation or institutional accountability. In addition to communicating public concerns via digital channels, parliamentary institutions must make sure that democratic decision-making processes adequately take these issues into account.

References

1. Gupta A. Towards Participatory Democracy: Can Digitalisation Help Women in India? Indian Journal of Public Administration,2019:65(4):897–915.
2. Castells M. The Rise of the Network Society (2nd ed.). Wiley-Blackwell,2010.
3. Coleman S, Blumler JG. The Internet and Democratic Citizenship: Theory, Practice and Policy. Cambridge University Press,2009.
4. Dahl RA. Democracy and Its Critics. Yale University Press,1989.
5. Government of India. Digital India Programme. Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology,2014.
6. Lok Sabha Secretariat. Parliament Digital Library. Parliament of India. https://eparlib.sansad.in/
7. Ministry of Parliamentary Affairs. NeVA Designed to Make Legislative Proceedings of State/UT Legislatures Paperless and Promote Transparency. Government of India,2025.
8. Norris P. Digital Divide: Civic Engagement, Information Poverty, and the Internet Worldwide. Cambridge University Press,2001.
9. Gowda R. Early Indian Initiatives in E-Democracy. International Journal of Electronic Governance,2008:1(2):174–189.
10. Dhal S. Situating Digital India Mission in Pursuit of Good Governance: A Study of Electronic Governance Initiatives in the Indian Province of Odisha. Indian Journal of Public Administration,2020:66(1):110–126.