



Impact of socialization process on the child's personality development and freedom of choice: A study on Multan district, Pakistan

Md. Rasel Ud Jaman

Senior Lecturer Department of Sociology Bangladesh University, Bangladesh

Abstract

Socialization is the way where both family and peer group play a vital role to develop personality of child around the world. The prime objective of the study is to explore the socialization process of family and peer group and this study also investigates which agents are more influential on personality development and providing freedom. Total 200 male respondents from Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan were selected from 10 departments out of 34 departments through stratified sampling and simple random sampling. Numerical and mathematical proceeding digits have been used to collect data and analyzed data through using the program of SPSS. The findings revealed that peer group is more influential than the family regarding personality development, providing freedom, sharing interest, media experience, thought, gender socialization and daily life experience. Parents should be more conscious about the social circle and activities their children. And peer should condemn the antisocial activities if any found among their fellows.

Keywords: family, peer group, socialization, personality, freedom and decision making

Introduction

In the life of every person there are a number of people and institutions (e.g., family, peer, school) directly concerned that have great influence because of their frequency of contact, primacy, and control over rewards and punishments given to the individual. Socialization occurs (Giddens, 2006) ^[4]. Child brain development relied on both genetic factors and environmental factors (Belsky & de Haan, 2011; Richards *et al.*, 2016) ^[2, 12]. Subsequently, studies have explained that even normal variation in early care giving quality, such as the variation in parental sensitivity, warmth, and support (Kok *et al.*, 2015; Luby *et al.*, 2012; Whittle *et al.*, 2014) ^[8, 10, 15], may be related to differences in brain volume and growth in childhood and adolescence. Although experience-dependent brain progress seems a replicable finding, there are inconsistencies in the direction of the effect (Richards *et al.*, 2016; Whittle *et al.*, 2014) ^[12, 15]. Moreover, high heritability estimates for brain growth (Jansen, Mous, White, Posthuma, & Polderman, 2015) ^[6] have also emerged and studies have illustrated the large degree of variability in brain volume and brain development trajectories in healthy children (Brain Development Cooperative Group, 2012; Lenroot & Giedd, 2006) ^[9].

In the current study, we include a more comprehensive measure of positive parenting, i.e., repeated measures of sensitive parenting of both mothers and fathers in early childhood; and we focus on child pro social behavior, as there is robust evidence for its association with parental positive socialization strategies (Hastings, Miller, & Troxel, 2015) ^[5]. The objective of the present research is to explore the impact of socialization pattern on child personality development and

freedom of choice in family and peer group. It also identifies which agent is more influential for child mental development.

Research Methodology

Quantitative approach is the main mechanism for the methodology of this research. This research is trying to illustrate the impact of socialization process on the child's personality development and freedom of choice. This exploratory research utilized survey method of quantitative methodology through interview schedule to collect data. Therefore, a survey method is used for the collection of data.

Sampling Procedure

For this cross-sectional study, 200 students as a sampling frame were selected. For this research, at first 10 departments from the 34 departments at Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan was randomly selected. So, 200 students from each hall were interviewed.

Tools for Collecting Data

For the data collection, self-completion questionnaire was constructed. The pattern of the questionnaire is semi-structured. It contained mostly open-ended questions. It was prepared in both English for the proper understanding of all the respondents.

Procedure for Analyzing Data

The collected data were entered and analyzed using SPSS statistics 20.0 software. The open-ended questions are coded according to their variables in the Variable View sheet of the

SPSS. Descriptive analysis has been done to interpret the results

Result and discussion

This section illustrates the major information of the respondents spending time with parents, parents and peer

attitude towards child and parent influence in decision making. It also focuses the parent and peer influence on child personality during socialization process. The following table-01 has been prepared on the basis of field study as well as primary sources of data collection.

Table 1

Main categories	Variable categories		Number		Percentage	
Respondents spending time with parent and peer	Family					
	Number	Percentage				
	3-5hrs.	46	23.0			
	6-9 hrs.	118	59.0			
	10-12 hrs.	33	16.5			
13 and above	3	1.5				
Total	200	100.0				
Parents' attitude	Aggressive		23	11.5		
	Strict		110	55.0		
	Friendly		48	24.0		
	Neutral		19	9.5		
Parents influence in decision making process	to great extent		110	55.0		
	to some extent		90	45.0		
	Not at all		0	0		
Peer influence children's attitude	to great extent		154	77.0		
	to some extent		44	22.0		
	not at all		2	1.0		
Peer effect on future goal	to great extent		128	64.0		
	to some extent		71	35.5		
	not at all		1	.5		
Parental and peer's attitude effect on personality development	Parents		Peer			
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage		
	to great extent	102	51.0	180	90.0	
	to some extent	95	47.5	20	10.0	
	not at all	3	1.5	0	0	
to great extent	102	51.0	180	90.0		

Table no- 01 depicts that 23 percent respondents spent 3-5 hours, 59 percent respondents spent 6-9 hours, 16.5 percent respondents spent 10- 12 hours and 1.5 percent respondents spent 13 hours and above with their parent. This table also shows that 13.5 percent respondents spent 3-5 hours, 23 percent respondents spent 6-9 hours, 51 percent respondents spent 10-12 hours and 12.5 percent respondents spent 13 hours and above with their peer group. Most of the respondents (59%) answered that they spend 6-9 hours with their parent. On the other hand, most of respondents (51%) answered that they spend 10-12 hours with peer group. So it concluded that majority of respondents spend their maximum time with peer group. They also met with their friend for study purpose, entertainment, spending free time etc.

Table shows that majority (55%) of respondents' parents attitude was strict. Hence children perform secretive attitude and formulate distance from their parents. It also can be misleading to the children. On the other hand, majority (50%) of respondents' parent had dominant tendency to great extent. Parents who are too authoritarian and inflexible in their thinking produce children full of fright, less social, and have low confidence. This table also shows that according to 55 percent respondents, parents influenced in decision making process to great extent. Socialization by parents, by other relatives, and by friends is important to adoption of caregiver

roles. Parents transmit culture to their children through socialization.

Above table shows that majority (77%) of respondents answer that peers influenced their attitude to great extent. Peer group is more influential agent in socialization. This agent make own social world, value pattern, different type of view etc. a person share his own interest, thought, experience and also adopt peer's thought, view, behavior pattern. Peer influenced their attitude. Table shows that majority (64%) of respondents said that peer affected on their future goal to great extent. Peer is more connected than family. This table shows that the ratio of respondents which were in favor of that parent's attitude affected on personality development to great extent was 51 percent. On the other hand, the ratio of respondents which were in favor of that peer's attitude affected on personality development, to great extent was 90 percent. So majority of respondents answered that peer's attitude affected on personality development to great extent than parent's attitude. Peers are more linked with children than the parent. They are influenced by peer's thinking pattern and behavior.

Conclusion and Suggestion

Socialization is the continual process of human life. In this process, family and peer group are more influential agent of

socialization. In present study was explored which agent was more influential in socialization between family and peer group. Family plays a vital role in childhood age. Parents are first teachers of human life. They shape children behavior, personality and so forth. Then, peer group is powerful agent in socialization. Peer relationship has significant effects on mental and physical health on child. These have strong impact on character, personality shaping and interpersonal relationships of the child. A peer group is a small group of similarly age, education, status or social class. Adolescence spends more time with peer than parents. Peer plays important role in the psychological, behavior and emotional development. On the basis of discussion the result indicate that peer is more influential agent of socialization than family. Peer group is the great space of freedom. Children are spending more time with peer. Peer helps to gender socialization. Result also reveals that peer's attitudes effects on children personality development.

Keeping the view of the trends and empirical analysis, following suggestions would be helpful for socialization and new research.

- Comparative analysis of family and peer group as more influential agent of socialization is a broad term. More work should be done on it to explore more deeply. New research can explore their concept in more depth with new variation.
- Friendly attitude of parents should minimize the gap between family and children's peer group.
- Parents should be more conscious about the social circle and activities their children
- Through proper socialization parents should develop the sense of morality among their children so they can differentiate between right and wrong.
- Peer should condemn the antisocial activities if any found among their fellows.

References

1. Arnett JJ. "Broad and Narrow Socialization: The Family in the Context of a cultural Theory, *Journal of Marriage and Family*". 1995; 57(3):17-628. (<http://www.jstor.org/stable/353917>), retrieved on 15th oct 2011.
2. Belsky J, de Haan M. Parenting and children's brain development: The end of the beginning. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2011; 52:409-428. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7610.2010.02281.x
3. Brain Development Cooperative Group. Total and regional brain volumes in a population- based normative sample from 4 to 18 years: The NIH MRI study of normal brain development. *Cerebral Cortex*. 2012; 22:1-12. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhr018
4. Giddens Anthony. "Sociology" 2nd ed. Cambridge CB2 IUR, UK, Polity press, 2006.
5. Hastings PD, Miller JG, Troxel NR. Making good: The socialization of children's prosocial development. In J. E. Grusec & P. D. Hastings (Eds.), *Handbook of socialization* New York: Guilford Press. 2015; 2:637-660.
6. Jansen AG, Mous SE, White T, Posthuma D, Polderman TJC. What twin studies tell us about the heritability of brain development, morphology, and function: A review. *Neuropsychology Review*. 2015; 25:27-46. doi:10.1007/s11065-015-9278-9
7. Jaddoe VWV, van Duijn CM, van der Heijden AJ, Mackenbach JP, Moll HA, Steegers EAP, *et al.* The Generation R Study: Design and cohort update 2010. *European Journal of Epidemiology*. 2010; 25:823-841. doi:10.1007/s10654-010-9516-7
8. Kok R, Thijssen S, Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ, Jaddoe VW, Verhulst FC, White T, *et al.* Normal variation in early parental sensitivity predicts child structural brain development. *Journal of the American Academy for Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2015; 54:824-831. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2015.07.009
9. Lenroot RK, Giedd JN. Brain development in children and adolescents: Insights from anatomical magnetic resonance imaging. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2006; 30:718-729. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2006.06.001
10. Luby JL, Barch DM, Belden A, Gaffrey MS, Tillman R, Babb C, *et al.* Maternal support in early childhood predicts larger hippocampal volumes at school age. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2012; 109:2854-2859. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1118003109
11. Nelson CA, Fox NA, Zeanah CH. *Romania's abandoned children: Deprivation, brain development and the struggle for recovery*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2014.
12. Richards JS, Arias Vasquez A, Franke B, Hoekstra PJ, Heslenfeld DJ, Oosterlaan J, *et al.* Developmentally sensitive interaction effects of genes and the social 732 R. KOK *ET AL.* environment on total and subcortical brain volumes. *PLoS One*. 2016; 11:e0155755. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0155755
13. Thijssen S, Wildeboer A, Muetzel R, Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ, El Marroun H, Hofman A, Cortical thickness and prosocial behavior in school-age children: A population-based MRI study. *Social Neuroscience*. 2015; 10:571-582. doi:10.1080/17470919.2015.1014063
14. White T, El Marroun H, Nijs I, Schmidt M, van der Lugt A, Wielopolski PA, *et al.* Pediatric population-based neuroimaging and the Generation R Study: The intersection of developmental neuroscience and epidemiology. *European Journal of Epidemiology*. 2013; 28:99-111. doi:10.1007/s10654-013-9768-0
15. Whittle S, Simmons JG, Dennison M, Vijayakumar N, Schwartz O, Yap MB, *et al.* Positive parenting predicts the development of adolescent brain structure: A longitudinal study. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*. 2014; 8:7-17. doi:10.1016/j.dcn.2013.10.00.